UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

HEATHER NELSON,

Plaintiff,

v. Civil Case No.: 3:11-cv-307

SANTANDER CONSUMER USA, INC., PATRICK K. WILLIS, CO., INC. d/b/a AMERICAN RECOVERY SERVICE, and ASSETSBIZ CORP. d/b/a ABC RECOVERY,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 10 – MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE ANY REFERENCE TO THE AVAILABILITY OF AN AWARD OF ATTORNEY'S FEES TO PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff moves this Court for an Order that defendants, including their witnesses and attorneys, shall not reference, suggest, or mention, within the hearing of the jury, the availability of an award of attorney's fees to plaintiff should she succeed in this litigation.

ARGUMENT

At the end of the case, if plaintiff prevails on one or more or her claims that have cost and fee-shifting provisions, the Court must determine how much to award plaintiff in costs and attorneys' fees. The amount of the award is a determination solely for the Court. There is no jury issue. Defendants should be precluded from mentioning or suggesting that plaintiff will or may get paid money for costs and attorney's fees. It is unrelated to all issues before the jury and its introduction could mislead or confuse the jury or unfairly prejudice plaintiff's case.

The danger of permitting the jury to hear evidence of attorney's fees was discussed by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Brooks v. Cook*, 938 F.2d 1048, 1050 (9th Cir. 1991). In that case, a civil rights action brought under § 1983, the court of appeals held:

1

In a case where the Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages, informing the jury of the Plaintiff's potential right to receive attorney's fees might lead the jury to offset the fees by reducing the damage award. Even more troubling, however, is the case where actual damages are small or non-existent. When damages are nominal, there is a risk that the jury may believe that the 'harm' does not justify the payment of a large fee award. The jury may thus decide to find for Defendant

rather than allow the Plaintiffs' attorney to recover fees.

Id.

As such, this Court should prevent defendants from introducing any evidence related to

the availability of an award of costs and attorney's fees. Such reference has no probative value

and clearly would be for the sole purpose of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, and

misleading the jury.

An award of attorney's fees under the Wisconsin Consumer Act is also a matter for the

judge, not the jury. See, e.g., Wis. Stat. § 425.308 (the Wisconsin Consumer Act statute

mandating an award of attorney's fees to a successful consumer to be "determined by the

court"); Reusch v. Roob, 234 Wis. 2d 270, 292, 610 N.W.2d 168, 179, 2000 Wis. App. ¶ 36 (Ct.

App. 2000) (attorney's fees under Wisconsin Consumer Act are not damages).

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant plaintiff's motion in limine directing

defendants' witnesses and attorneys not to mention the availability of costs and attorneys' fees in

the presence of the jury.

Respectfully submitted this 13th day of May, 2013.

By: s/Ivan Hannibal

Mary Catherine Fons, SBN 1017000

FONS LAW OFFICE

500 South Page Street Stoughton, WI 53589

Phone: (608) 873-1270

Fax: (608) 873-0496

mfons@chorus.net

2

Ivan J. Hannibal, SBN 1050360 CONSUMER RIGHTS LAW OFFICE 5908 Running Deer Trail Mc Farland, WI 53558-9053 Phone: (608) 852-6702

consumerrightslawoffice@gmail.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF